6.4 Appendix D - Risk Matrix

Consequence Rating Table (Where there are multiple types of impacts, use the highest rating for scoring risk)						Risk Tolerance & Action Table				
IMPACT TYPE: HEALTH AND SAFETY	INSIGNIFICANT • Near miss event.	MINOR •First Aid injury or illness.	MODERATE •Injury or illness requiring medical	MAJOR •Serious injury or illness requiring	CRITICAL (potential RAS breach within 1 year) •Permanent impairment with significant	Overall Assessed MRL at Enterprise	Recomn	nended Action	Immediate Respons to WHS Risk (Refer to WHS Risk Manager Procedure for specific action	Sign-off/ Reporting
Physical & Psychosocial	No first aid or medical treatment required.	Thetrud injury of initiation	intervention or treatment. •Reversible, temporary impairment.	hospitalisation. Ongoing impairment with functional restriction.	functional restriction. •Fatality / fatalities.	Level Extreme	If the MRL indicates a potential breach of Senate approved		requirements) Task must not procee Appropriate and prom	d. Vice Chancello
CULTURE / UQ VALUES	Some non-supervisory staff unaware of and/or their behaviour occasionally inconsistent with UQ Values, Code of Conduct, and/or local safety procedures.	Middle management not appropriately responding to staff behaviour that is inconsistent with UQ Values, Code of Conduct and/or safety procedures.	Noticeable reduction in staff morale at a faculty, institute, or central divisional level. Widespread staff perception that senior management does not appropriately respond to staff breaching UQ Values, Code of Conduct Principles and/or safety procedures.	Noticeable reduction in staff morale across UQ. Sustained inability to fill essential roles and/or attract sought-after potential staff in a timely manner. Persistent failure to retain valued staff for desired periods of time. Widespread staff perception that UQ does not appropriately respond to senior management staff breaching	Students and/or staff lose trust in UQ's commitment and ability to abide by its Values. Majority of internal stakeholders believe UQ's culture is corrosive and/or noticeably detrimental to UQ's success, and to the success of its staff and students.		RAS, advise Develop a F Action Plan proposed co soon as pra MRL to an a Confirm effe	e ERS immediately. Risk Management and implement ontrols/treatments as cticable to lower the acceptable TRL. ectiveness and timely tion to ERS as per	action must be taken reduce the risk to an acceptable level.	
COMPLIANCE and LEGAL RISK (Compliance with laws, regulations, contracts, licenses, court judgements, UQ policies and procedures)	•Award of damages or negotiated settlement less than \$100K net outlay (not regulator related). •Breach of a local standard operating procedure but not o any UQ policy or procedure.	•Minor corrective actions from regulator on non-essential matters. •Award of damages or negotiated settlement between >\$100K - \$500K net outlay (not regulator related). •Minor breach of a UQ policy or procedure.	Court or regulator-imposed fines and penalties less than \$500K. Breach of law/regulation/license but without the consequences described in 'Major' or 'Critical'. Award of damages or negotiated settlement between >\$500K-\$1M (net loss) Significant but ad hoc breach of a UQ policy or procedure.	UQ Values, Code of Conduct Principles and/or safety procedures. Court or regulator-imposed fines and penalties >\$500K - \$10M. Show cause notice, major adverse finding or enforceable undertaking issued by regulator. Award of damages or negotiated settlement between >\$1M - \$10M (net loss). Significant and systemic breaches of UQ policies or procedures.	Criminal conviction of UQ and/or its executive/s in their official capacity. Court imposed fines and penalties >\$10M. Loss of mission-essential licence /accreditation. Award of damages against UQ or negotiated settlement costing UQ >\$10M (net loss).	High	If MRL within RAS, accept risk and document the reasons. If outside of RAS, develop a Risk Management Action Plan and implement proposed controls/treatments as soon as practicable to lower the MRL to the TRL. Confirm effectiveness and timely implementation to ERS as per agreed action plan.		Task can only proceed in extraordinary circumstances**, provided it is within RAS, and there is authorization by relevant Head of Function* and a plan is in place to promptly reduce the risk to an acceptable level.	USLG member (the risk may be reported by ERS to VCRCC,
REPUTATION Key stakeholders: Students and Staff (current and prospective) Alumni / Donors / Partners / Peers Government; all levels Research Investors / Customers Community; domestic and international Suppliers, Unions	Negligible impact. Ad hoc negative mentions or rumours of a negative event on social media.	Adverse conventional or social media coverage for a brief time. Limited ability to meet some legitimate but insignificant student, staff and/or other stakeholders' demands and expectations.	Regular adverse conventional or social media coverage. Students and staff (including unions) frequently and publicly express their disapproval and disappointment at UQ. Short-term failure to meet legitimate and significant student, staff and/or other stakeholders' demands and expectations.	 Ongoing criticism of UQ in conventional or social media gradually undermining public perception of UQ. Sustained long-term failure to meet legitimate and significant student, staff and/or other stakeholders' demands and expectations. 	Strong, sustained and largely unanimous criticism of UQ by key stakeholders or general public, via conventional or social media. Very public and rapid withdrawal of support for, and trust in, UQ by its key stakeholders.	Medium	and docume If outside of Risk Manag and implem controls/trea practicable the TRL.	n RAS, accept risk ent the reasons. RAS, develop a ement Action Plan ent proposed atments as soon as to lower the MRL to	Task can proceed upon approval of the risk assessment by relevant Line Manager or Supervisor is received. Implementation of a review cycle to review the risks and mitigate	unt WSLG member and relevant Head of Function*
STRATEGIC Strategic KPIs are the highest priority KPIs included in UQ's Strategic Plan	Negligible but has potential to adversely impact UQ's strategic KPIs.	Negative but acceptable variations in less than 10% of UQ's strategic KPIs when assessed on an annual basis or against annual milestones.	Significant but acceptable negative variations in >10%-20% of UQ's strategic KPIs when assessed on an annual basis or against annual milestones.	Significant or unacceptable negative variations in >20%-30% of UQ's strategic KPIs when assessed on an annual basis or against annual milestones.	strategic KPIs when assessed on an annual basis or against annual milestones.		controls for effectiveness and introduce new or changed controls if cost is justifiable. Develop and implement action plan, if new or changed controls are proposed, followed by reassessment of new risk level after implementation. Maintain and monitor existing controls to ensure they continue		further wherever possible.	
FINANCIAL (Note 1) Measured as adverse impact on budgeted annual EBIT	Net adverse EBIT impact of less than 1% of budgeted total income: this equates to negative EBIT impact of <\$20M for 2021	Net adverse EBIT impact of 1%-2.5% of budgeted total income: this equates to negative EBIT impact of \$20M -\$50M for 2021	budgeted total income: this equates to	Net adverse EBIT impact of >5%-10% of budgeted total income: this equates to negative EBIT impact of >\$100M - \$200M for 2021	Net adverse EBIT impact of greater than 10% of budgeted total income: this equates to negative EBIT impact of >\$200M for 2021	Low			Task can proceed up approval of the risk	Manager or
OPERATIONS (Note 1) Functions refer to Academic activities, i.e. research, teaching, learning Support services refer to Non-Academic activities e.g. COO portfolio, professional services	Insignificant impact on the delivery or performance of non-essential functions and/or support services; issue/s quickly resolved.	Minor, unplanned negative impact on the delivery or performance of non-essential functions and/or support services. Some damage, loss or contamination of non-essential facilities, infrastructure, resources (incl. research samples, data, information assets), systems or operational capabilities.	Moderate, unplanned negative impact on the delivery or performance of essential functions and/or support services. Significant damage, loss or contamination of non-essential facilities, infrastructure, resources (incl. research samples), systems or operational capabilities. Some damage, loss or contamination of essential facilities, infrastructure, resources (incl. research samples, data, information assets), systems or operational capabilities.	Significant and/or sustained, unplanned impact on the delivery or performance of essential functions and/or support services during a less operationally critical time. Significant damage, loss, or contamination of essential facilities, infrastructure, resources (incl. research samples, data, information assets), systems or operational capabilities that can be replaced, repaired, or recovered from.	Significant, unplanned negative impact on the delivery or performance of essential functions and/or support services during an operationally critical time and for an unacceptable period. Significant damage, loss, or contamination of essential facilities, infrastructure, resources (incl. research samples, data, information assets), systems or operational capabilities that cannot be practicably replaced, repaired, or recovered from.	management of management of * Relevant Hea	to be effective; Monitor internal and external changes in the portfolio's environment. ganisational level (e.g. faculty, institute, school, controlled entity, project, function, division ent has to identify their portfolio's or project's top risks and demonstrate the effectient of these risks. Head of Function; Head of school, Institute Deputy Director or Division Director dinary circumstances are opportunities for the University that align with its strategic mission.			
Risk Level Calculator	Insignificant [1]	Minor [2]	Moderate [3]	Major [4]	Critical [5]	Likelihood of t materialising	he risk	Definition		Probability
	Medium	Medium	High	Extreme	Extreme	5 Very High	Very High Almost ce		tremely likely	> 90%
	Low	Medium	High	High	Extreme	4 High	High Very Likely;		probably occur 60% - 90%	
	Low	Low	Medium	High	Extreme	3 Medium	3 Medium		Likely to happen	
	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High	2 Low		Possible but unlikely		10% - 39%
ssential' in the matrix above re	Low efers to those activities, staff, m	Low eans, conditions, and resources	Low that are of such importance that without the	Medium ese the university will be unable to deliver	High its core functions of teaching, learning and	1 Very Low research.		Conceivable but e	xtremely unlikely	<10%

Note 1; to provide meaningful risk ratings for risk assessments other than at UQ level (e.g. faculty, institute, school, function, division), the reference to budgeted total income. For projects, the 'Financial' and 'Operations' impact levels may be adjusted to better reflect the project specific impacts and tolerances. If lower level and/or adjusted consequence levels for Financial and/or Operations impact types have been used, the total risk rating needs to be reported by stating the organisational level of the assessment before the risk rating; e.g. Faculty-High, Project-Medium, School-Extreme, etc.

| Doc ref 1.80.01a | Governance and Risk | Final | Effective Date: 22 June 2021 | Page 12 of 13 |